

Using the table below, provide a list of the evaluation factors used in scoring the procurement, the total number of points available for each factor, and the number of points awarded to each respondent for each evaluation factor. The total number of points awarded to each respondent should be provided at the bottom of this table. This scoring sheet must be submitted to the Office of Personal Service Contract Review with contracts that use an RFQ as a procurement method. If sub-categories are utilized in addition to the required factors below, a definition of the evaluation factor(s) and an explanation for the assigned weight(s) should be provided as well.

Willie Chandler

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (percentage)	2Gether WeRise	Advanced Employment	Arc of NW MS	Bloom	CDTI	CPI	Good Shepherd	Goodwill of MS	Goodwill of South MS
Price	Price	35%	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Plan for performing the required services	Technical	30%	10	30	30	10	30	25	15	15	15
Ability to perform the services as reflected by technical training and education, general experience, specific experience in providing the required services, and the qualifications and abilities of personnel proposed to be assigned to perform the services	Management	15%	5	15	15	10	15	15	10	10	10
Personnel, equipment, and facilities to perform the services currently available or demonstrated to be made available at the time of contracting	Management	10%	5	6	10	5	10	10	10	10	10
Record of past performance of similar work	Management	10%	5	10	10	7	10	10	5	5	5
Total Points Awarded		100%	60	96	100	67	100	95	75	75	75

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (percentage)	Grace	Learning Zone	MSU	Mulvihill	PCG	Trinity	USM	Wesson Monroe	YATS
Price	Price	35%	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Plan for performing the required services	Technical	30%	0	30	30	30	30	10	20	30	23
Ability to perform the services as reflected by technical training and education, general experience, specific experience in providing the required services, and the qualifications and abilities of personnel proposed to be assigned to perform the services	Management	15%	15	15	15	15	15	10	5	15	10
Personnel, equipment, and facilities to perform the services currently available or demonstrated to be made available at the time of contracting	Management	10%	5	10	10	10	10	5	5	10	5
Record of past performance of similar work	Management	10%	0	10	10	0	10	5	5	10	5
Total Points Awarded		100%	55	100	100	90	100	65	70	100	78

Using the table below, provide a list of the evaluation factors used in scoring the procurement, the total number of points available for each factor, and the number of points awarded to each respondent for each evaluation factor. The total number of points awarded to each respondent should be provided at the bottom of this table. This scoring sheet must be submitted to the Office of Personal Service Contract Review with contracts that use an RFQ as a procurement method. If sub-categories are utilized in addition to the required factors below, a definition of the evaluation factor(s) and an explanation for the assigned weight(s) should be provided as well.

Jennifer Jackson

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (percentage)	2Gether WeRise	Advanced Employment	Arc of NW MS	Bloom	CDTI	CPI	Good Shepherd	Goodwill of MS	Goodwill of South MS
Price	Price	35%	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Plan for performing the required services	Technical	30%	5	30	25	10	30	28	10	15	15
Ability to perform the services as reflected by technical training and education, general experience, specific experience in providing the required services, and the qualifications and abilities of personnel proposed to be assigned to perform the services	Management	15%	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Personnel, equipment, and facilities to perform the services currently available or demonstrated to be made available at the time of contracting	Management	10%	0	5	10	2	10	8	8	10	10
Record of past performance of similar work	Management	10%	5	10	10	5	10	5	5	3	3
Total Points Awarded		100%	60	95	95	67	100	91	73	78	78

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (percentage)	Grace	Learning Zone	MSU	Mulvihill	PCG	Trinity	USM	Wesson Monroe	YATS
Price	Price	35%	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Plan for performing the required services	Technical	30%	0	28	30	20	30	10	20	30	10
Ability to perform the services as reflected by technical training and education, general experience, specific experience in providing the required services, and the qualifications and abilities of personnel proposed to be assigned to perform the services	Management	15%	15	15	15	15	15	10	15	15	15
Personnel, equipment, and facilities to perform the services currently available or demonstrated to be made available at the time of contracting	Management	10%	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	10	10
Record of past performance of similar work	Management	10%	0	10	10	0	10	8	5	10	5
Total Points Awarded		100%	60	98	100	80	100	68	85	100	75

Using the table below, provide a list of the evaluation factors used in scoring the procurement, the total number of points available for each factor, and the number of points awarded to each respondent for each evaluation factor. The total number of points awarded to each respondent should be provided at the bottom of this table. This scoring sheet must be submitted to the Office of Personal Service Contract Review with contracts that use an RFQ as a procurement method. If sub-categories are utilized in addition to the required factors below, a definition of the evaluation factor(s) and an explanation for the assigned weight(s) should be provided as well.

Ericia Brown

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (percentage)	2Gether WeRise	Advanced Employment	Arc of NW MS	Bloom	CDTI	CPI	Good Shepherd	Goodwill of MS	Goodwill of South MS
Price	Price	35%	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Plan for performing the required services	Technical	30%	5	25	30	10	30	25	15	20	20
Ability to perform the services as reflected by technical training and education, general experience, specific experience in providing the required services, and the qualifications and abilities of personnel proposed to be assigned to perform the services	Management	15%	10	15	15	10	15	10	10	15	15
Personnel, equipment, and facilities to perform the services currently available or demonstrated to be made available at the time of contracting	Management	10%	0	5	10	5	10	5	7	10	8
Record of past performance of similar work	Management	10%	5	10	10	7	10	5	5	10	5
Total Points Awarded		100%	55	90	100	67	100	80	72	90	83

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (percentage)	Grace	Learning Zone	MSU	Mulvihill	PCG	Trinity	USM	Wesson Monroe	YATS
Price	Price	35%	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Plan for performing the required services	Technical	30%	0	30	30	25	30	10	20	30	10
Ability to perform the services as reflected by technical training and education, general experience, specific experience in providing the required services, and the qualifications and abilities of personnel proposed to be assigned to perform the services	Management	15%	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Personnel, equipment, and facilities to perform the services currently available or demonstrated to be made available at the time of contracting	Management	10%	5	10	10	8	10	5	10	10	10
Record of past performance of similar work	Management	10%	0	10	10	0	10	5	5	10	8
Total Points Awarded		100%	55	100	100	83	100	70	85	100	78

Using the table below, provide a list of the evaluation factors used in scoring the procurement, the total number of points available for each factor, and the number of points awarded to each respondent for each evaluation factor. The total number of points awarded to each respondent should be provided at the bottom of this table. This scoring sheet must be submitted to the Office of Personal Service Contract Review with contracts that use an RFQ as a procurement method. If sub-categories are utilized in addition to the required factors below, a definition of the evaluation factor(s) and an explanation for the assigned weight(s) should be provided as well.

April Christon

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (percentage)	2Gether WeRise	Advanced Employment	Arc of NW MS	Bloom	CDTI	CPI	Good Shepherd	Goodwill of MS	Goodwill of South MS
Price	Price	35%	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Plan for performing the required services	Technical	30%	5	30	25	20	30	25	15	15	20
Ability to perform the services as reflected by technical training and education, general experience, specific experience in providing the required services, and the qualifications and abilities of personnel proposed to be assigned to perform the services	Management	15%	10	15	15	10	15	15	10	10	15
Personnel, equipment, and facilities to perform the services currently available or demonstrated to be made available at the time of contracting	Management	10%	2	5	10	5	10	5	10	10	10
Record of past performance of similar work	Management	10%	5	10	10	5	10	5	5	5	5
Total Points Awarded		100%	57	95	95	75	100	85	75	75	85

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (percentage)	Grace	Learning Zone	MSU	Mulvihill	PCG	Trinity	USM	Wesson Monroe	YATS
Price	Price	35%	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Plan for performing the required services	Technical	30%	0	30	30	30	30	15	20	30	15
Ability to perform the services as reflected by technical training and education, general experience, specific experience in providing the required services, and the qualifications and abilities of personnel proposed to be assigned to perform the services	Management	15%	15	15	15	10	15	10	5	15	5
Personnel, equipment, and facilities to perform the services currently available or demonstrated to be made available at the time of contracting	Management	10%	5	10	10	10	2	5	10	10	8
Record of past performance of similar work	Management	10%	0	10	10	0	10	5	5	10	10
Total Points Awarded		100%	55	100	100	85	92	70	75	100	73

Using the table below, provide a list of the evaluation factors used in scoring the procurement, the total number of points available for each factor, and the number of points awarded to each respondent for each evaluation factor. The total number of points awarded to each respondent should be provided at the bottom of this table. This scoring sheet must be submitted to the Office of Personal Service Contract Review with contracts that use an RFQ as a procurement method. If sub-categories are utilized in addition to the required factors below, a definition of the evaluation factor(s) and an explanation for the assigned weight(s) should be provided as well.

Jennifer Christmas

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (percentage)	2Gether WeRise	Advanced Employment	Arc of NW MS	Bloom	CDTI	CPI	Good Shepherd	Goodwill of MS	Goodwill of South MS
Price	Price	35%	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Plan for performing the required services	Technical	30%	10	25	30	20	30	25	20	15	15
Ability to perform the services as reflected by technical training and education, general experience, specific experience in providing the required services, and the qualifications and abilities of personnel proposed to be assigned to perform the services	Management	15%	5	15	15	10	15	10	5	10	10
Personnel, equipment, and facilities to perform the services currently available or demonstrated to be made available at the time of contracting	Management	10%	5	10	10	5	10	10	5	10	10
Record of past performance of similar work	Management	10%	5	5	10	5	10	5	5	10	5
Total Points Awarded		100%	60	90	100	75	100	85	70	80	75

LIST OF EVALUATION FACTORS	DEFINITION OF FACTORS	WEIGHT OF FACTOR (percentage)	Grace	Learning Zone	MSU	Mulvihill	PCG	Trinity	USM	Wesson Monroe	YATS
Price	Price	35%	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Plan for performing the required services	Technical	30%	0	30	30	30	30	10	20	20	15
Ability to perform the services as reflected by technical training and education, general experience, specific experience in providing the required services, and the qualifications and abilities of personnel proposed to be assigned to perform the services	Management	15%	15	15	15	15	15	10	5	15	10
Personnel, equipment, and facilities to perform the services currently available or demonstrated to be made available at the time of contracting	Management	10%	5	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	5
Record of past performance of similar work	Management	10%	0	10	10	0	10	5	5	10	5
Total Points Awarded		100%	55	100	100	90	100	70	70	90	70